arXiv:1509.07774v1 [math.DG] 25 Sep 2015

PSEUDOCONNECTIONS AND RICCI FLOW

C. MORALES

Abstract. In this note we explain how a flow in the space of Riemannian metrics (including Ricci's [2]) induces one in the space of pseudoconnections.

Let M be a differentiable manifold. Denote by $C^\infty(M)$ the ring of all C^∞ real valued maps defined in M. Given a vector bundle ξ over M we denote by $\Omega^0(\xi)$ the $C^\infty(M)$-module of C^∞ sections of ξ. We write $\mathcal{X}$ instead of $\Omega^0(TM)$. A *tensor field of order p of M* is a $C^\infty(M)$-linear map $T : \mathcal{X}^p \to C^\infty(M)$, where $\mathcal{X}^p$ is the product of p copies of $\mathcal{X}$. We say that T is *nondegenerated* if $T(X, \cdots, X) > 0$ for all $X \neq 0$, and *symmetric* if $T(\cdots, X, \cdots, Y, \cdots) = T(\cdots, Y, \cdots, X, \cdots)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$. Denote by $Sym_p(M)$ the set of all symmetric tensor fields of order p of M. A *Riemannian metric* of M is a nondegenerated element of $Sym_2(M)$. We denote by $Riem(M)$ the space of Riemannian metrics of M.

A *pseudoconnection* of a vector bundle ξ over M is an $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear map $Q : \mathcal{X} \times \Omega^0(\xi) \to \Omega^0(\xi)$ for which there is a homomorphism of $C^\infty(M)$-modules $P(Q) : \Omega^0(\xi) \to \Omega^0(\xi)$, called *principal homomorphism*, such that

$$Q_{fX}s = fQ_Xs \quad \text{and} \quad Q_X(fs) = X(f)P(Q)(s) + fQ_Xs$$

for all $(X, s) \in \mathcal{X} \times \Omega^0(\xi)$ and all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ (e.g. [4]). A connection of ξ is a pseudoconnection ∇ for which $P(\nabla)$ is the identity homomorphism. By a pseudoconnection (resp. connection) of M we mean a pseudoconnection (resp. connection) of its tangent bundle TM. A pseudoconnection Q of M is *symmetric* if $Q_XY - Q_YX = P(Q)([X, Y])$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$, where $[X, Y]$ denotes the Lie bracket.

It is possible to obtain symmetric pseudoconnections of M from the following lemma.

Lemma 0.1. *For every map $R : Riem(M) \to Sym_2(M)$ and every $g \in Riem(M)$ there is a symmetric pseudoconnection R^g of M satisfying*

$$R(g)(X, Y) = g(P(R^g)(X), Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. Define $R^g : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ implicitely by

$$2g(R^g_XY, Z) = X(R(g)(Y, Z)) + Y(R(g)(Z, X)) - Z(R(g)(X, Y))+$$
$$R(g)([X, Y], Z) + R(g)([Z, X], Y) - R(g)([Y, Z], X), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

A straightforward computation shows not only that R^g is well-defined but also that it is a symmetric pseudoconnection of M. □

On the other hand, we know from the basic Riemannian geometry [1] that for every Riemannian metric g there is a unique symmetric connection ∇ of M which is *compatible* with g, namely,

$$Xg(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_XY, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_XZ), \qquad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

This is the so-called *Levi-Civita connection* of g.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C05; Secondary 58J60.

Key words and phrases. Metric Flow, Ricci Flow, Pseudoconnection.

The objetive of this paper is to relate pseudoconnections to *metric flows*, i.e., one-parameter families of Riemannian metrics g_t in M solving the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial t} = R(g_t), \tag{1}$$

for some $R : Riem(M) \to Sym_2(M)$. The most famous example of a metric flow on a manifold is the so-called *Ricci flow* in which $R(g) = Ric(g)$ stands for the Ricci tensor of g (c.f. [2]).

Now we state our result.

Theorem A. *To every solution g_t of (1) it corresponds a one-parameter family of symmetric pseudoconnections Q^t of M such that the Levi-Civita connection ∇^t of g_t evolves according to the following equation*

$$\frac{\partial \nabla^t}{\partial t} + P(Q^t) \circ \nabla^t = Q^t. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Fix a solution g_t of (1) and their corresponding Levi-Civita connections ∇^t. We know from basic Riemannian geometry [1] that ∇^t satisfies the so-called Kozul formula

$$2g_t(\nabla^t_X Y, Z) = X(g_t(Y, Z)) + Y(g_t(Z, X)) - Z(g_t(X, Y))+$$

$$g_t([X, Y], Z) + g_t([Z, X], Y) - g_t([Y, Z], X), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Derivating it with respect to t (as in the proof of Proposition 1.10 p. 21 in [3]) and using (1) we get

$$2g_t\left(\frac{\partial \nabla^t}{\partial t}(X, Y), Z\right) = -2R(g_t)(\nabla^t_X Y, Z) + X(R(g_t)(Y, Z))+ \tag{3}$$

$$Y(R(g_t)(Z, X)) - Z(R(g_t)(X, Y)) + R(g_t)([X, Y], Z) + R(g_t)([Z, X], Y)-$$

$$R(g_t)([Y, Z], X).$$

On the other hand, applying Lemma 0.1 we obtain

$$R(g_t)(\nabla^t_X Y, Z) = g_t((P(R^{g_t}) \circ \nabla^t)(X, Y), Z)$$

and

$$X(R(g_t)(Y, Z)) + Y(R(g_t)(Z, X)) - Z(R(g_t)(X, Y)) + R(g_t)([X, Y], Z)+$$

$$R(g_t)([Z, X], Y) - R(g_t)([Y, Z], X) = 2g_t(R^{g_t}_X Y, Z).$$

So,

$$g_t\left(\frac{\partial \nabla^t}{\partial t}(X, Y), Z\right) = g_t\left(\left(-(P(R^{g_t}) \circ \nabla^t) + R^{g_t}\right)(X, Y), Z\right), \ \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Since g_t is a Riemmanian metric we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial \nabla^t}{\partial t} + P(R^{g_t}) \circ \nabla^t = R^{g_t}, \quad \forall t.$$

Since R^{g_t} is a symmetric pseudoconnection by Lemma 0.1 we obtain (2) by taking $Q^t = R^{g_t}$. The proof follows. □

REFERENCES

- [1] do Carmo, M., *Riemannian geometry*, Translated from the second Portuguese edition by Francis Flaherty. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.
- [2] Morgan, J., Tian, G., *Ricci flow and the Poincaré conjecture*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, 3. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2007.
- [3] Muller, R., *Differential Harnack inequalities and the Ricci flow*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zrich, 2006.
- [4] Shandra, I., G., *Pseudoconnections and manifolds with degenerate metrics*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 119 (2004), no. 5, 658–681.

C. MORALES, INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, P. O. BOX 68530, 21945-970, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL.